

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Immediate effect of a tear enhancer and meibomian gland expression on the corneal surface and whole eye higher order aberrations



Giancarlo Montani<sup>a,\*</sup>, Paul J. Murphy<sup>b,c</sup>, Sudi Patel<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Optics and Optometry. University of Salento, Lecce, Italy

<sup>b</sup> School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of Waterloo, Canada

<sup>c</sup> School of Optometry and Vision Sciences, Cardiff, UK

<sup>d</sup> NHS National Services Scotland, Edinburgh, UK & Svjetlost University Eye Hospital, Zagreb, Croatia

Received 27 December 2016; accepted 20 January 2018 Available online 24 April 2018

KEYWORDS High order aberrations; Tears; Tears enhancer; Meibomian gland expression	Abstract <i>Purpose</i> : To investigate changes in higher order ocular aberrations (HOA) induced by the admin- istration of 0.15% sodium hyaluronate tear enhancer (TE) and meibomian gland expression (ME) on the tear film of normal subjects. <i>Methods</i> : HOA values were obtained from the corneal surface (CS) and whole eye (WE), using a corneal topographer and Shack-Hartmann aberrometer, from both eyes of 50 subjects (25 females, mean age $\pm$ sd 32.5 $\pm$ 11.0 years, and 25 males, 33.88 $\pm$ 11.2 years) for two pupil sizes (3 and 6 mm) in a dark environment. One drop of Blink Contacts <sup>TM</sup> (Abbott Medical Optics) was instilled into the right eye and HOA measurements repeated after 30 s. After 1 h, the meibomian glands of the left lower eyelid were gently squeezed and HOA measurements repeated after 30 s. <i>Results</i> : There was no significant difference for CS and WE root mean square (RMS) HOAs between right and left eyes before (both pupil sizes) and after TE use or ME (3 mm pupil). For 6 mm pupil, TE use significantly reduced the WE RMS HOA ( $p < 0.05$ , mean $\pm$ sd) for $Z_4^0$ (0.297 $\pm$ 0.136 to 0.053 $\pm$ 0.069), and $Z_5^5$ (0.221 $\pm$ 0.372 to 0.098 $\pm$ 0.121) while ME significan- tly increased CS RMS HOA ( $p < 0.05$ , mean $\pm$ sd) for $Z_3^{-3}$ (0.799 $\pm$ 1.178 to 1.302 $\pm$ 1.991) and $Z_4^4$ (0.594 $\pm$ 1.184 to 0.988 $\pm$ 1.463). In general, the change in HOA was significantly correlated with the initial value before TE use or ME ( $p < 0.05$ ). <i>Conclusion</i> : There were no detectable differences between right and left eyes. For the 6 mm pupil, the tear enhancer tended to improve optical performance of the WE and meibomian gland expression tended to reduce the optical performance at the CS. © 2018 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/hy-
	© 2018 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

\* Corresponding author at: School of Optics and Optometry. University of Salento, Via per Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy. *E-mail address:* giancarlo.montani@le.infn.it (G. Montani).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2018.01.004

1888-4296/© 2018 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### PALABRAS CLAVE

Aberraciones de alto orden; Lágrimas; Lágrimas artificiales; Expresión de las glándulas de Meibomio

#### Efecto inmediato de las lágrimas artificiales y de la expresión de las glándulas de Meibomio sobre las aberraciones de alto orden de la superficie corneal y totales

#### Resumen

*Objetivo*: Estudiar los cambios de las aberraciones oculares de alto orden (HOA) inducidas por la aplicación de las lágrimas artificiales con 0,15% de hialuronato sódico y la expresión de las glándulas de Meibomio (ME) en la película lagrimal en sujetos normales.

*Métodos*: Se obtuvieron los valores de HOA de la superficie corneal (SC) y de la totalidad del ojo, utilizando un topógrafo corneal y un aberrómetro Shack-Hartmann, de ambos ojos de 50 sujetos (25 mujeres, edad media  $\pm$  DE 32,5  $\pm$  11 años, y 25 varones, 33,88  $\pm$  11,2 años) para dos tamaños de pupila (3 y 6 mm) en un entorno oscuro. Se instiló una gota de Blink Contacts<sup>TM</sup> (Abbott Medical Optics) en el ojo derecho, repitiéndose las mediciones de HOA transcurridos 30 segundos. Al cabo de 1 hora, se presionaron suavemente las glándulas de Meibomio del párpado inferior del ojo izquierdo y se repitieron las mediciones de HOA al cabo de 30 segundos.

*Resultados*: No se produjo diferencia significativa en el error cuadrático médio (RMS) de HOA de la superficie corneal y la totalidad del ojo entre los ojos derecho e izquierdo (ambos tamaños de pupila) antes o después del uso de las lágrimas artificiales o ME (pupila de 3 mm). Para pupilas de 6 mm, el uso de lágrimas artificiales redujo significativamente el RMS de HOA de la totalidad del ojo (p< 0,05, media  $\pm$  DE) para Z<sup>0</sup><sub>4</sub> (de 0,297  $\pm$  0,136 a 0,053  $\pm$  0,069), y Z<sup>5</sup><sub>5</sub> (de 0,221  $\pm$  0,372 a 0,098  $\pm$  0,121) mientras que ME incrementó significativamente el RMS de HOA (p < 0,05, media  $\pm$  DE) para Z<sup>-3</sup><sub>3</sub> (de 0,799  $\pm$  1,178 a 1,302  $\pm$  1,991) y Z<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> (de 0,594  $\pm$  1,184 a 0,988  $\pm$  1,463). En general, el cambio de HOA guardó una correlación significativa con el valor inicial antes del uso de lágrimas artificiales o ME (p < 0,05).

*Conclusión:* No se observaron diferencias detectables entre los ojos derecho e izquierdo. Para la pupila de 6 mm, la lágrima artificial tendió a mejorar el rendimiento óptico de la totalidad del ojo, y la expresión de las glándulas de Meibomio tendió a reducir el rendimiento óptico de la superficie corneal.

© 2018 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### Introduction

The quality and dimensions of the overlying tear film before, during, and after a blink influence the overall optical properties of the eye described by the higher order aberrations.<sup>1–6</sup> Perturbations in the tear film leading to alterations in the propagation of light are expected to impact on the overall refractive properties of the tear film and, in turn, affect the overall quality of the retinal image. The effect of these perturbations is enhanced by the non-uniform distribution of lipid and aqueous layers, and by the difference in refractive index between them; lipid layer: 1.482, with a range of  $1.460-1.530^7$  at a typical corneal surface temperature, and aqueous layer:  $1.337.^{8,9}$ 

Abnormal tear film quality and structure due to dry eye disease leads to a reduced visual performance.<sup>10–12</sup> Tear enhancers are intended to provide both relief from symptoms of dry eye and improve the stability of the tear film with a better quality of vision. These effects can also be produced by expressing meibomian oil from the lower eyelid by digital manipulation of the tarsal glands.<sup>13,14</sup> Many patients report fluctuating and blurred vision immediately after tear enhancer instillation, or after meibomian oil expression. The visual disturbance can persist for many seconds before the quality of vision settles. Though such remarks are

anecdotal, the patients are describing a persistent inconvenient symptom.

Considering the interruption in the optical quality of the eye, it would be useful to ascertain if any particular aberrations are more affected by deliberate shifts in the content and quality of the tear film. Using higher order aberration (HOA) analysis, it is possible to investigate whether specific numerical indices associated with the optical quality of the eye are more sensitive to changes related to the tear film.

The aim of this study was to determine if certain higher order aberrations observed from the corneal surface are related to: irregularities within and over the tear film, and whole eye; the quality of the retinal image quality; and are more susceptible to change shortly after instilling a tear enhancer or meibomian expression in normal subjects.

### Methods and materials

#### Subjects

To avoid artefacts induced by a baseline of poor tear film stability attributable to dry eye, 50 subjects (mean age  $\pm$  sd: 33.2  $\pm$  11.0 years), 25 females (range 19–60 years) and 25 males (range 18–56 years), with a normal tear film,

participated in this investigation. No subject presented with significant distance refraction (best corrected sphere and/or cylinder less than  $\pm 0.50D$ ). All subjects had visual acuity of 20/20 or better. None of the subjects presented with dry eye symptoms (Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) score < 10), or low tear film stability (NIBUT > 12 s), or any clear signs of dry eye or ocular surface disease. All subjects had patent meibomian glands, normal clear corneas, free of any irregularities, with no history of general or ocular conditions known to affect either the tear film or cornea. All measurements were taken in a controlled, draft-free environment, with a mean  $\pm$  sd temperature  $22 \pm 2$  °C and a mean  $\pm$  sd relative humidity 50  $\pm$  3%. This study was approved by the institutional review board of University of Salento and the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration were followed throughout this investigation. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects after the full nature and possible consequences of the study were fully explained.

# Estimating the optical properties and total optical performance

The corneal surface aberrations were measured using a corneal topographer based on a Placido disk (CA-100 Fluo. Topcon, Capelle, The Netherlands), and whole eye aberrations were measured using an aberrometer based on Shack-Hartmann principles (COAS™, WaveFront Sciences Inc, Albuquerque, USA). The Shack-Hartmann aberrometer is a single-pass, wavefront device, and this type of aberrometer has been proven to be useful in this type of study.<sup>11,15,16</sup> In simple description, a very narrow (<1 mm) laser beam is passed into the eye forming a spot at the retina. This spot is not affected by the HOAs of the eye. The light emerging from the spot passes back through the eye towards an array of identical lenslets and sensors to form a multiple series of images of the diffuse spot at the retina. The shape, size, position and intensity of each single image are associated with the local characteristics of the wavefront passing out of the eye. The characteristics of each spot are analysed and details from all of the spots are integrated, resulting in a computed reproduction of the wavefront aberration.

The wavefront aberration is depicted by a series of Zernike polynomials which best fit the captured data using a 'least squares' method. The corneal surface HOA are analysed in a similar way by sampling only the reflections from the corneal surface. Full descriptions of the Zernike polynomials and the applications in relation to ocular wavefront aberrations are noted elsewhere.<sup>17,18</sup> In brief, the Zernike polynomials describing coma and trefoil are  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{1}$ ,  $Z_3^3$ , spherical aberration secondary astigmatism and tetrafoil are  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^0$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_4^4$ , and the 5th order pentafoil aberrations are  $Z_5^{-5}$ ,  $Z_5^{-3}$ ,  $Z_5^{-1}$ ,  $Z_5^1$ ,  $Z_5^3$ ,  $Z_5^5$ . Oshika<sup>19</sup> advocated that a particular aberration (e.g. coma) can be quantified by the sum of the individual values of each Zernike polynomial. This leads to some positive and negative values cancelling each other out, resulting in a spurious misrepresentation of the true inherent optical defect. Consequently, the sum total of the RMS values of the Zernike polynomials is a more realistic and meaningful depiction of the true wavefront aberration.

Since it would be useful to determine if a particular Zernike polynomial is more susceptible to changes in

response to provocative changes in the tear film, the values of the Zernike polynomials describing each HOA (that is, each of the 3rd, 4th and 5th order aberrations) were considered.

A further matter to note is that instruments designed to evaluate the total aberrations of the eye measure along the line of sight, while corneal topographers, such as that used here, are usually designed to centre about the pupil or optical axis of the cornea. Thus, the two instruments are not measuring the same identical parameters, and, consequently, the results obtained from the two instruments will be different and cannot be compared directly with each other. However, to isolate each set of aberrations, the two instruments must be used as neither can perform both measurements.

#### Experimental procedure

At all times, the corneal topographer was used before the aberrometer, and the average of three measurements from each instrument was recorded. The time interval between the two instruments was about 15s and the subject was allowed to blink normally during the short change over period.

The corneal surface and total aberrations of the whole eye were measured for the right eye and then the left, following a rest period of 60 min. The proprietary software built into each instrument produce aberration data for 3.0 mm and 6.0 mm pupil sizes. Measurements were taken in a dark room to dilate the pupil and to avoid the need for further contamination of the tear film by use of a topical mydriatic or cycloplegic. The subject was asked to blink 4 or 5 times, stare at the distant target of the aberrometer, and the images using the aberrometer were captured 5s after the last blink. The captured images were analysed using the built-in software of the instrument yielding data describing each of the 3rd, 4th and 5th order aberrations from the corneal surface and whole eye, for the two pupil sizes.

One drop of 0.15% sodium hyaluronate tear enhancer (Blink Contacts<sup>TM</sup>, Abbott Medical Optics Inc., Santa Ana, CA, USA) was introduced into the lower fornix of the right eye by asking the subject to look up and gently pulling down the lower lid away from the lid margin to avoid inadvertent expression of meibomian oil. The corneal surface and total ocular aberrations were measured at the right eye 30 s later. After a rest period of 60 min, the lower lid of the left eye was gently pinched, midway between the canthi, always by the same investigator, using the thumb and forefinger to express some visible oil from the local meibomian glands. The corneal surface and total ocular aberrations were measured at the left eye 30 s later.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were stored on a spread-sheet and analysed to determine if:

 (i) the results for each of the 3rd, 4th and 5th order HOA were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilks normality test);

- (ii) there was a significant difference between right and left eyes, before either instillation of tear enhancer or expression of meibomian oil, apropos the corneal surface and total whole eye HOA (Mann Whitney or *t*-test);
- (iii) a significant correlation occurred between each of the corneal surface and total whole eye HOA before and after instillation of a tear enhancer, and before and after expression of meibomian oil (Pearson correlation coefficient, r);
- (iv) a significant change in the corneal surface and total whole eye HOA occurred after instillation of the tear enhancer or expression of meibomian oil (Wilcoxon signed rank or t-test);
- (v) a significant correlation occurred between the change  $(\Delta)$  in each corneal surface and total whole eye HOA, and the pre-treatment value, after instillation of the tear enhancer or expression of meibomian oil (Pearson correlation coefficient, r).

The significance level was set at a p-value of < 0.05. The analyses were performed for all the results obtained using the instruments over the two pupil sizes: 3 mm and 6 mm.

#### Results

Assessment for data normality found that most of the corneal surface and whole eye HOAs were not distributed normally, and therefore non-parametric tests where applied to the data. The main significant results are listed in Tables 1-5 and Figs. 1-4.

#### Baseline comparison of right and left eyes

There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the total corneal surface and total whole eye HOAs for both pupil sizes between both eyes (Table 1).



**Figure 1** Selected data comparing RMS HOA data from right and left eyes. The two sets of data with the highest correlations are shown. All values are in microns ( $\mu$ ). The equations for the least squares regression lines are: corneal surface 3 mm pupil (upper line), y = 0.342x + 0.052 (r = 0.334, n = 50, p = <0.05) and total ocular 3 mm pupil (lower line), y = 0.309x + 0.020(r = 0.335, n = 50, p = <0.05).

# Comparison of mean HOA values before and after instillation of tear enhancer

There was no significant change (p > 0.05) in each of the corneal surface HOAs, for both pupil sizes, and for the total whole eye HOAs for the 3 mm pupil. For the 6 mm pupil there was a significant fall (p < 0.05) in the value of corneal surface  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_4^0$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_5^1$ ,  $Z_5^3$  and  $Z_5^5$  total whole eye HOAs (Table 2). The remaining HOAs did not change significantly.

# Comparison of mean HOA values before and after meibomian gland expression

There was no significant change (p > 0.05) in each corneal surface and total whole eye HOA for the 3 mm pupil. There was a significant rise (p < 0.05) in the value of corneal surface  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_4^4$ ,  $Z_5^{-3}$ ,  $Z_5^{-1}$  HOA for the 6 mm pupil only (Table 3).

# Individual changes in each RMS HOA after instillation of tear enhancer

For the corneal surface HOAs, there was a significant correlation (p < 0.05) between the individual change ( $\Delta$ ) in the value of each HOA and the initial value of the HOA before instillation, in all 15 HOA for the 3 mm pupil (Table 4). There was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of each HOA before instillation in the following eight of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^3$ ,  $Z_4^4$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_4^4$ ,  $Z_5^{-3}$ ,  $Z_5^{-1}$  for the 6 mm pupil.

For the total whole eye aberrations and 3 mm pupil, there was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of the HOA before instillation for six of the 15 HOA:  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_4^4$ ,  $Z_5^1$ ,  $Z_5^3$ . For the 6 mm pupil, there was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of the HOA before instillation for eight of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^1$ ,  $Z_3^3$ ,  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^0$ ,  $Z_4^0$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_5^{-1}$ .

# Individual changes in each RMS HOA after meibomian gland expression

For the corneal surface HOAs, there was a significant correlation between the individual change ( $\Delta$ ) and the initial value of each HOA before meibomian gland expression for eight of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{1}$ ,  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^{0}$ ,  $Z_4^{2}$ ,  $Z_5^{3}$ , for the 3 mm pupil. There was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of each HOA before meibomian gland expression for eleven of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^{0}$ ,  $Z_4^{2}$ ,  $Z_5^{-5}$ ,  $Z_5^{-5}$ ,  $Z_5^{-5}$ , for the 6 mm pupil (Table 5).

For the total whole eye aberrations, there was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of each HOA before meibomian gland expression in eight of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_3^{1}$ ,  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^{0}$ ,  $Z_4^{2}$ ,  $Z_5^{3}$ , for the 3 mm pupil. There was a significant correlation between  $\Delta$  and the initial value of each HOA before meibomian gland expression for eight of the 15 HOAs:  $Z_3^{3}$ ,  $Z_4^{-4}$ ,  $Z_4^{-2}$ ,  $Z_4^{0}$ ,  $Z_4^{2}$ ,  $Z_5^{1}$ ,  $Z_5^{2}$ ,  $Z_5^{3}$ , for the 6 mm pupil (Table 5).

**Table 1** The characteristics of the 5 significant correlations (p < 0.05) between RMS HOA data obtained from right (x) and left (y) eyes before changes in the tear film.

HOA	Condition	Characteristics of least squares line $(n = 50)$
$\overline{Z_3^1}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	y = 0.345x + 0.052, r = 0.334
$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	y = 0.232x + 0.643, r = 0.308
$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	y = 0.264x + 0.464, r = 0.329
$Z_{5}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	y=0.223x+0.141, r=0.333
$Z_3^1$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	y=0.309x+0.020, r=0.335

**Table 2** Reporting the indices that changed significantly (p < 0.05) following instillation of the tear enhancer. These were revealed for the whole eye 6 mm pupil data only.

HOA		Before		After tear enhancer instillation	
	Mean (±sd)	Median (range)	Mean (±sd)	Median (range)	
$Z_{3}^{-1}$	0.263 (0.338)	0.170 (0-1.50)	0.167 (0.158)	0.120 (0-0.97)	0.040
$Z_4^0$	0.297 (0.136)	0.240 (0.01-0.69)	0.053 (0.081)	0.210 (0.05-0.32)	0.012
$Z_{4}^{2}$	0.165 (0.203)	0.105 (0.00-0.98)	0.107 (0.107)	0.080 (0.00-0.65)	0.043
$Z_5^{\overline{1}}$	0.043 (0.059)	0.03 (0-0.33)	0.027 (0.023)	0.020 (0-0.11)	0.029
$Z_5^3$	0.106 (0.214)	0.04 (0-1.30)	0.050 (0.075)	0.03 (0-0.36)	0.044
$Z_{5}^{5}$	0.221 (0.372)	0.11 (0.01-2.22)	0.098 (0.121)	0.045 (0.01-0.52)	0.016

**Table 3** Reporting the indices that changed significantly (p < 0.05) following meibomian expression. These were revealed for the corneal surface 6 mm pupil data only.

HOA		Before		After meibomian expression	
	Mean (±sd)	Median (range)	Mean (±sd)	Median (range)	
$Z_{3}^{-3}$	0.799 (1.178)	0.47 (0.01-6.69)	1.302 (1.991)	0.50 (0.01-8.78)	0.016
$Z_4^4$	0.594 (1.184)	0.280 (0.01-7.50)	0.988 (1.463)	0.37 (0.06-6.62)	0.018
$Z_{5}^{-3}$	0.248 (0.420)	0.09 (0-1.98)	0.396 (0.662)	0.13 (0-3.25	0.043
$Z_{5}^{-1}$	0.202 (0.347)	0.08 (0-2.00)	0.321 (0.564)	0.11 (0.01-2.64)	0.030

### Discussion

Although tear enhancers and meibomian expression are used in the treatment of dry eye disorders, the aim of this study was to identify the coefficients of higher order aberrations (HOAs) of the corneal surface and the whole eye that were most susceptible to change from the addition of these substances to the tear film of normal subjects.

While tear enhancer use in normal eyes has been previously investigated,<sup>20-24</sup> there are only anecdotal comment reports relating to the effect of meibomian gland expression on the alteration of vision quality. The higher order aberrations, observed from both the corneal surface and whole eye, are useful in understanding the subjective effects of these treatment methods on vision by assessing the objective effects on optical quality.

The study found that instillation of a tear enhancer or the expression of meibomian oil had no effect on the mean and median value of each HOA for the smaller 3 mm pupil. For the larger 6 mm pupil, the tear enhancer reduced the magnitude of the total ocular aberrations ( $Z_3^{-1}$ ,  $Z_4^2$ ,  $Z_4^0$ ,  $Z_5^1$ ,  $Z_5^3$  and  $Z_5^5$ ), indicating an overall improvement in optical performance across the subjects over the duration of the investigation. However, for the 6 mm pupil, meibomian gland expression produced a significant increase in mean HOA values for the corneal surface aberrations  $(Z_3^{-3}, Z_4^4, Z_5^{-3}, Z_5^{-1})$ , indicating that meibomian gland expression changes the regular distribution of tear film. In summary, manipulating the content of the tear film had a detectable impact on the short term optical performance at the larger 6 mm pupil, but not for the smaller 3 mm pupil.

These effects are evident in Fig. 2 and Tables 2 and 3, which show that the HOAs significantly changed after introduction of the tear enhancer and meibomian gland expression. It appears that, for the total whole eye, HOAs reduced after instillation of the tear enhancer, and, for the corneal surface, HOAs increased after meibomian gland expression.

From a clinical treatment perspective, tear enhancers are expected to raise the stability of the pre-corneal tear film, thereby reducing the rate of tear evaporation, without a negative impact on tear film optics. The fall in magnitude of the six specific HOAs after instillation of the tear enhancer observed in this study shows that this treatment method

**Table 4** Reporting the significant (p < 0.05) correlation coefficient values (r) for linear regression applied to pairs of data consisting of pre-application of tear enhancer RMS value of a HOA (x) and the change ( $\Delta$ ) in the RMS HOA value (y).  $\Delta$  = post-application RMS HOA minus pre-application RMS HOA.

co-efficient (r) $Z_3^{-3}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.642$ $Z_3^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.312$ $Z_3^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.476$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.592$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.592$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.635$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.635$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.629$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.667$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.667$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.637$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.670$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.686$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.389$ $Z_3^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.340$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.389$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.299$ $Z_4^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.299$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.299$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.499$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.499$ <	HOA	Condition	Correlation
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			co-efficient (r)
$Z_3^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.668$ $Z_3^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.312$ $Z_3^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.786$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.786$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.635$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.639$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.629$ $Z_5^{-3}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_5^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.637$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.670$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.670$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.686$ $Z_7^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.389$ $Z_3^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.340$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_7^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.299$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.385$	$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.642
$Z_{3}^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.312$ $Z_{3}^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.476$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.592$ $Z_{4}^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.786$ $Z_{4}^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_{4}^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.635$ $Z_{5}^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.639$ $Z_{5}^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_{5}^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_{5}^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.637$ $Z_{5}^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.670$ $Z_{3}^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.686$ $Z_{3}^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.686$ $Z_{3}^{-1}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.389$ $Z_{3}^{-2}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.340$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_{5}^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_{5}^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.299$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_{4}^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_{5}^{-3}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.385$	$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.668
$Z_3^3$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.476$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.592$ $Z_4^{-2}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.786$ $Z_4^0$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.634$ $Z_4^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.635$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.629$ $Z_5^{-3}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.689$ $Z_5^{-1}$ Corneal surface 3 mm pupil $-0.637$ $Z_5^{-5}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.637$ $Z_5^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.389$ $Z_3^{-4}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_6^{-3}$ Corneal surface 6 mm pupil $-0.380$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_4^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_7^{-4}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.305$ $Z_7^{-5}$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.385$	$Z_3^1$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.312
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_3^{3}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.476
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.592
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{4}^{-2}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.786
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_4^{\dot{0}}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.500
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$Z_4^2$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.634
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_4^{\dot{4}}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.635
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{5}^{-5}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.629
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{5}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.799
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{5}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.689
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_5^1$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.812
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_5^3$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.637
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_5^5$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.670
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.686
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.389
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_3^3$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.481
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.340
$\begin{array}{cccc} Z_4^4 & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.380 \\ Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.389 \\ Z_5^{-1} & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.871 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.299 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.499 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.511 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.477 \\ Z_5^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.305 \\ Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.385 \\ Z_3^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & -0.385 \\ \end{array}$	$Z_4^2$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.310
$\begin{array}{cccc} Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.389 \\ Z_5^{-1} & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.871 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.299 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.499 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.511 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.477 \\ Z_5^{-5} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.305 \\ Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.385 \\ Z_3^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & -0.385 \\ \end{array}$	$Z_4^4$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.380
$\begin{array}{cccc} Z_5^{-1} & \mbox{Corneal surface 6 mm pupil} & -0.871 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.299 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.499 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.511 \\ Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.477 \\ Z_5^{-5} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.305 \\ Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.385 \\ Z_3^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & -0.385 \\ \end{array}$	$Z_{5}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.389
$ \begin{array}{cccc} Z_4^{-4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.299 \\ Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.499 \\ Z_4^{2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.511 \\ Z_4^{4} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.477 \\ Z_5^{1} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.305 \\ Z_5^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.473 \\ Z_3^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & 0.385 \\ Z_3^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & -0.385 \\ \end{array} $	$Z_{5}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.871
$\begin{array}{cccc} Z_4^{-2} & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.499 \\ Z_4^2 & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.511 \\ Z_4^4 & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.477 \\ Z_5^1 & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & -0.305 \\ Z_5^3 & \mbox{Total ocular 3 mm pupil} & 0.473 \\ Z_3^{-3} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & 0.385 \\ Z_3^{-1} & \mbox{Total ocular 6 mm pupil} & -0.385 \\ \end{array}$	$Z_4^{-4}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.299
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Z_{4}^{-2}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.499
$Z_4^4$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.477$ $Z_5^1$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.305$ $Z_5^3$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $0.473$ $Z_3^{-3}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil $0.385$ $Z_3^{-1}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil $-0.385$	$Z_4^2$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.511
$Z_5^1$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $-0.305$ $Z_5^3$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil $0.473$ $Z_3^{-3}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil $0.385$ $Z_3^{-1}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil $-0.385$	$Z_4^{\dot{4}}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.477
$Z_5^3$ Total ocular 3 mm pupil0.473 $Z_3^{-3}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil0.385 $Z_3^{-1}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil-0.385	$Z_5^1$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.305
$Z_3^{-3}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil0.385 $Z_3^{-1}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil-0.385	$Z_{5}^{3}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.473
$Z_3^{-1}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil -0.385	$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.385
	$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.385
Z <sub>3</sub> Total ocular 6 mm pupil 0.428	$Z_3^1$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.428
$Z_3^3$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil $-0.482$	$Z_3^3$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.482
$Z_4^{-4}$ Total ocular 6 mm pupil 0.341	$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.341
Z <sup>0</sup> <sub>4</sub> Total ocular 6 mm pupil 0.291	$Z_4^0$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.291
Z <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> Total ocular 6 mm pupil 0.488	$Z_4^2$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.488
Z <sub>5</sub> <sup>-1</sup> Total ocular 6 mm pupil -0.678	Z <sub>5</sub> <sup>-1</sup>	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.678

fulfils the third requirement. However, the expression of meibomian oil only fulfils the first two requirements,<sup>13,14</sup> but not the third. This suggests that the expressed meibomian oil does not interact with the pre-corneal tear film in the 30 s after the forced expression in a manner that promotes good optical performance. Local perturbations in the tear film caused by inadequate distribution of the expressed meibomian oils are the likely cause of an increase in mean values of particular corneal surface HOAs.

Table 4 lists the HOAs where the change in the value of the HOA was significantly associated with the initial RMS value for the HOA before instillation of the tear enhancer. Fig. 3 shows the data for the HOA with the highest

**Table 5** Reporting the significant (p < 0.05) correlation coefficient values (r) for linear regression applied to pairs of data consisting of pre-meibomian expression RMS value of a HOA (x) and the change ( $\Delta$ ) in the RMS HOA value (y).  $\Delta$  = post-expression RMS HOA minus pre-expression RMS HOA.

HOA	Condition	Correlation
<u> </u>		
$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	0.496
$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.396
$Z_3^{\prime}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.290
$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.347
$Z_{4}^{-2}$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.572
$Z_4^0$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	0.510
$Z_4^2$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.614
$Z_5^3$	Corneal surface 3 mm pupil	-0.574
$Z_{3}^{-3}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.417
$Z_{3}^{-1}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.338
$Z_{3}^{1}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.310
$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.752
$Z_{4}^{-2}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.644
$Z_4^0$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.285
$Z_4^4$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.633
$Z_{5}^{-5}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.553
$Z_5^1$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.428
$Z_5^3$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	0.675
$Z_5^{5}$	Corneal surface 6 mm pupil	-0.566
$Z_{2}^{-3}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.760
$Z_{2}^{-1}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.390
$Z_{1}^{1}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.305
$Z_{4}^{-4}$	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.293
Z_2	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.571
Z <sup>4</sup>	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	-0.513
Z <sup>2</sup>	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.632
Z <sup>3</sup>	Total ocular 3 mm pupil	0.546
$Z_3^3$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.668
$Z_{1}^{3-4}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.595
$7^{-2}_{-2}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.604
Z	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.284
Z4	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	0.644
$Z_{r}^{4-5}$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.557
$Z_r^3$	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.675
-5 75	Total ocular 6 mm pupil	-0.366
-5		5.500

correlation coefficient ( $Z_5^{-1}$ , corneal surface, 6 mm pupil). The nature of the least squares line in Fig. 3 suggests that instillation of the tear enhancer will either reduce the HOA when the initial RMS value is high, or increase when the initial RMS value is low. Other HOA coefficients noted in Table 4 have similar effects to  $Z_5^{-1}$ , such as  $Z_4^{-2}$  and  $Z_5^{-3}$  (corneal surface, 3 mm pupil). This implies that in some cases where the optical performance is relatively poor, the instillation of the tear enhancer is likely to improve overall optical performance. Conversely, in those cases where the optical performance is relatively good, the instillation of the tear enhancer is likely to reduce overall optical performance. Similar tendencies were found for other HOA correlations, such as  $Z_5^1$  (corneal surface, 3 mm pupil) and  $Z_5^{-1}$  (corneal surface, 6 mm pupil). This has a practical application when measuring corneal topography in cases where the corneal





**Figure 2** (a) Bar chart showing the RMS HOA where significant changes were detected after instillation of the tear enhancer. The appropriate standard deviations are shown as 'T' lines. All values are in microns ( $\mu$ ). Significant changes were uncovered for total ocular aberrations for the 6 mm pupil only. There were no significant changes in any of the corneal surface aberrations. (b) Bar chart showing the RMS HOA where significant changes were detected following meibomian expression. The appropriate standard deviations are shown as 'T' lines. All values are in microns ( $\mu$ ). Significant changes were uncovered for the corneal surface aberrations are shown as 'T' lines. All values are in microns ( $\mu$ ). Significant changes were uncovered for the corneal surface aberrations  $Z_3^{-3}$ ,  $Z_4^4$ ,  $Z_5^{-3}$ ,  $Z_5^{-1}$  and total ocular  $Z_5^{-5}$  HOA for the 6 mm pupil only. There were no significant changes in any of the total ocular aberrations.

surface HOAs are too high. In these cases, the tear enhancing drop is expected to improve the overall quality of the air-tear interface by reducing the HOAs, thus resulting in a more useful surface topographical analysis. This supports anecdotal reports of better quality corneal topography maps obtained in dry eyes or on irregular corneas after instilling tear enhancing drops.

Table 5 lists the HOAs where the change in the value of the HOA was significantly associated with the initial RMS value for the HOA before meibomian gland expression. Fig. 4 shows the data for the HOA with the highest correlation coefficient, namely  $Z_3^{-3}$  (total ocular aberration, 3 mm pupil). A negative correlation was encountered in 19 of the 35 cases, where changes in the RMS value of specific HOAs were significantly associated with the RMS value before expression. In those cases where the initial value of the HOA was high, meibomian gland expression tended to reduce



Figure 3 The HOA,  $Z_5^{-1}$ , where the highest correlation was found when comparing the change in the RMS value ( $\Delta$ ) with initial RMS value before instillation of the tear enhancer. The equation for the least squares regression lines is, y = 0.107 - 0.942x (r = -0.871, n = 50, p = <0.01. Excluding outlier, r = -0.774).





**Figure 4** The HOA,  $Z_3^{-3}$ , where the highest correlation was found when comparing the change in the RMS value ( $\Delta$ ) with initial RMS value before meibomian expression. The equation for the least squares regression lines is, y = 0.077 - 1.080x (r = -0.760, n = 50, p = <0.01. Excluding outlier, r = -0.523).

the HOA value, implying an improvement in optical performance. Conversely, in those cases where the initial value of the HOA was low, meibomian gland expression tended to increase the HOA value, implying a reduction in optical performance. In summary, poor optical performers tended to gain, good optical performers tended to lose out in the short term after meibomian gland expression.

No single coefficient stood out as the leading marker of change in the optical quality of the tear film after intervention. The RMS values of  $Z_5^5$  and  $Z_4^0$  indices describing the total ocular aberrations for a 6 mm pupil are more susceptible to significant change when an improvement in optical performance after tear film intervention is envisaged. The  $Z_3^{-3}$  and  $Z_4^4$  indices describing the corneal surface

aberrations for a 6 mm pupil are more susceptible to change when depreciation in optical performance after tear film intervention is anticipated.

Previous research has shown that the optical properties of right and left eyes are similar when these properties are quantified by the higher order aberrations.<sup>25,26</sup> This is because the optical characteristics exhibited by the two eyes have a tendency towards symmetry.<sup>26</sup> However, the inter-ocular differences in corneal surface curvature and refractive error suggest that there may yet be inter-ocular differences in the HOAs associated with the corneal surface and, possibly, the whole eye. A side-benefit of this study was the opportunity to further investigate these possible differences.

Our study found no measurable significant difference between the right and left eyes, implying that the optical profiles obtained from right and left eyes are the same in terms of basic statistics and drawn from the same population. This lack of any discernible inter-ocular difference supports previous findings.<sup>25,26</sup> Using a binocular Shack-Hartmann aberrometer, Hampson and Mallen<sup>27</sup> found inter-ocular correlations for coma, but for no other HOA. Our study also uncovered a similar trend in total ocular coma  $(Z_2^1)$ for the 3 mm pupil size, but not for the 6 mm. In addition, we also encountered significant inter-ocular correlations for  $Z_3^1$  (corneal surface, 3 mm pupil),  $Z_3^{-3}$  (corneal surface, 6 mm pupil),  $Z_3^{-1}$  (corneal surface, 6 mm pupil), and  $Z_5^{-3}$  (corneal surface, 6 mm pupil). Coma for the smaller 3 mm pupil size, represented by  $Z_3^1$ , was the corneal surface and total ocular HOA with the highest inter-ocular correlations, as shown in Fig. 1.

There are limitations in our investigation. We did not include any cycloplegia to control the effects of any microfluctuations of accommodation. This could limit the value placed on the effects on the whole eye HOAs results. However, micro-fluctuations of accommodation are more associated with their effects on lower order aberrations and have a lesser effect on HOAs.<sup>28,29</sup> The subjects enrolled in this study were normal and not expected to demonstrate overtly poor corneal surface or whole eve performance. It could be that meibomian oil tended to reduce corneal surface optical performance because the subjects were normal. In those cases with relatively high values for specific HOAs, the HOA value tended to reduce after meibomian gland expression. Ridder et al.<sup>30</sup> reported contrast sensitivity at high frequency (14 cpd) to reduce after instillation of particular tear enhancers in normal eyes. Contrasting with this, a study on 5 cases of mild to moderate dry eye revealed an improvement in contrast sensitivity with a particular tear enhancer.<sup>20</sup> The difference between studies on relatively dry and normal eyes may be associated with several factors, such as the compatibility and miscibility of the constituents of the tear enhancement regimen, or the tears themselves, and the unique optical properties of the individual eyes under investigation.

It has also been suggested that the dynamic behaviour of the tear film may impact on any correlation between the two eyes.<sup>31</sup> Attempts were made to reduce the effects of tear film dynamics by restricting data acquisition to 5 s after the final blink on all occasions. Nevertheless, even with this restriction, significant inter-ocular correlations were found in just 5 of the 60 sets of comparisons. Others have found that the optical performance of the eye tends to peak at approximately  $6-7 \, \mathrm{s}$  after the blink before deteriorating.<sup>30,32</sup> The difference in optical performance between 5 and 6 s after the blink were almost imperceptible on closer examination of the reported data. Thus, harvesting data at 5 s after the blink was not expected to impact on any interocular correlations.

Lastly, the mean HOA values do not change significantly after adjusting the content of the tear film when the pupils are small. Significant shifts in the mean values can occur when the pupils are large. In practical terms, a patient with tear-related problems may report visual problems when the pupil is large, e.g. driving at night or near work under poor light. In the standard clinical setting, visual problems may not be picked up using standard low contrast charts, because the ambient lighting limits the effect the pupil has reducing the HOA values. However, objective assessment of HOA values under larger pupil conditions may lead to a better understanding and association with reported symptoms.

In conclusion, this study found no detectable differences between right and left eyes when using a Shack–Hartmann aberrometer. When comparing the HOAs values obtained before intervention and 30 s afterwards, no change in optical quality was noted for the 3 mm pupil, but for the 6 mm pupil the tear enhancer tended to improve optical performance of the whole eye, and meibomian gland expression tended to reduce the optical performance at the corneal surface. Further studies are needed to investigate the effect of these two treatment methods on subjects with abnormal tear film characteristics due to dry eye.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### References

- Knoll HA. Relation between qualitative vision and qualitative over-keratometry in hydrogel contact lens wearers. Am J Optom Physiol Opt. 1984;61:448–452.
- 2. Reiger G. The importance of the preocular surface tear film for the quality of optical imaging. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1992;76:157–158.
- Albarrran C, Pons AM, Lorente A, Montés-Micó R, Artgas JM. Influence of the tear film on optical quality of the eye. *Contact Lens Anterior Eye*. 1997;20:129–135.
- 4. Tutt R, Bradley A, Begley C, Thibos LN. Optical and visual impact of tear break-up in human eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2000;41:4117–4123.
- Montés-Micó, Alió JL, Munoz G, Pérez-Santonja JJ, Charman WN. Postblink changes in total and ocular surface ocular aberrations. *Ophthalmology*. 2004;111:758–767.
- Montés-Micó R, Cáliz A, Alió JL. Changes in ocular aberrations after instillation of artificial tears in dry-eye patients. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2004;30:1649–1652.
- Tiffany JM. Refractive index of meibomian and other lipids. Curr Eye Res. 1986;11:887–889.
- Craig JP, Simmons PA, Patel S, Tomlinson A. Refractive index and osmolality of human tears. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1995;72:718–724.
- 9. Patel S, Boyd KE, Burns J. Age, stability of the preocular surface tear film and the refractive index of tears. *Contact Lens Anterior Eye*. 2000;23:44–47.

- Wang Y, Xu J, Sun X, Chu R, Zhuang H, He JC. Dynamic wavefront aberrations and visual acuity in normal and dry eyes. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2009;92:267–273.
- Montés-Micó R, Cerviño A, Ferrer-Blasco T, García-Lázaro S, Madrid-Costa D. The tear film and the optical quality of the eye. Ocul Surf. 2010;8:185–192.
- Benito A, Pérez GM, Mirabet S, et al. Objective optical assessment of tear-film quality dynamics in normal and mildly symptomatic dry eyes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:1481–1487.
- Craig JP, Blades K, Patel S. Tear lipid layer structure and stability following expression of the meibomian glands. *Ophthal Physiol Opt.* 1995;15:569–574.
- 14. Craig JP, Tomlinson A. Importance of the lipid layer in human tear film stability and evaporation. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1997;74:8-13.
- Moreno-Barriuso E, Marcos S, Navarro R, et al. Comparing laser ray tracing, the spatially resolved refractometer and Hartmann–Shack sensor to measure the ocular wave aberration. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2001;78:152–156.
- Schwiergerling J, Greivenkamp JE, Miller JM. Representation of videokeratoscopic height data with Zernike polynomials. J Opt Soc Am. 1995;12:2105–2211.
- 17. Thibos LN, Hong X. Clinical applications of the Shack-Hartmann aberrometer. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1999;76:817–825.
- Thibos LN, Applegate RA, Howland HC, et al. A VSIA-sponsored effort to develop methods and standards for comparison of wavefront aberration structure of the eye between devices and laboratories. In: *Vision Sciences and its Applications*. Washington, DC: Optical Society of America; 1999: 236–239.
- 19. Oshika T. Quantitative evaluation of ocular surface and irregular astigmatism and wavefront aberrations. *Cornea*. 2000;19(suppl 3):S165-S172.
- 20. Ridder WH 3rd, Tomlinson A, Paugh J. Effect of artificial tears on visual performance in subjects with dry eye. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2005;82:835–842.

- Berger JS, Head KR, Salmon TO. Comparison of two artificial tear formulations using aberrometry. *Clin Exp Optom*. 2009;92:206-211.
- Koh S, Maeda N, Ikeda C, et al. Effect of instillation of eyedrops for dry eye on optical quality. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013;54:4927–4933.
- McGinnigle S, Eperjesi F, Naroo SA. A preliminary investigation into the effects of ocular lubricants on higher order aberrations in normal and dry eye subjects. *Contact Lens Anterior Eye*. 2014;37:106–110.
- 24. Airiani S, Rozell J, Lee SM, Braunstein RE. The effect of lubricant eye drops on ocular wavefront aberrations. *J Refract Surg*. 2005;21:709–715.
- Porter J, Guirao A, Cox IG, Williams DR. Monochromatic aberrations of the human eye in a large population. J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis. 2001;18:1793–1803.
- Marcos S, Burns SA. On the symmetry between eyes of wavefront aberration and cone directionality. *Vision Res.* 2000;40:2437–2447.
- 27. Hampson KM, Mallen EA. Correspondence of chaos in binocular aberration dynamics. *Opt Lett*. 2013;38:302–304.
- Zhu M, Collins MJ, Iskander DR. Microfluctuations of wavefront aberrations of the eye. Ophthal Physiol Opt. 2004;24:562–571.
- Zhu M, Collins MJ, Iskander DR. The contribution of accommodation and the ocular surface to the microfluctuations of the wavefront aberrations of the eye. *Ophthal Physiol Opt.* 2006;26:439–446.
- Ridder WH 3rd, Lamotte JO, Ngo L, Fermin J. Short-term effects of artificial tears on visual performance in normal subjects. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2005;82:370–377.
- Montés-Micó, Alió JL, Munoz G, Charman WN. Temporal changes in optical quality of air-tear film interface at anterior cornea after blink. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;45:1752–1757.
- Ferrer-Blasco T, García-Lázaro S, Montés-Micó R, Cerviño A, González-Méijome JM. Dynamic changes in the air-tear film interface modulation transfer function. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2010;248:127–132.