ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Intraeye retinal nerve fiber layer and macular thickness asymmetry measurements for the discrimination of primary open-angle glaucoma and normal tension glaucoma

Safal Khanal a,*, Pinakin Gunvant Davey b, Lyne Racette c, Madhu Thapa d

a School of Optometry and Vision Science, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, New Zealand
b College of Optometry, Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, USA
c Eugene and Marilyn Glick Eye Institute, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, USA
d B.P. Koirala Lions Center for Ophthalmic Studies, Institute of Medicine, Nepal

Received 26 July 2015; accepted 27 September 2015
Available online 1 December 2015

KEYWORDS
Retinal nerve fiber layer;
Macular thickness;
Asymmetry;
Glaucoma

Abstract
Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic capability of intraeye retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness and macular thickness (MT) asymmetry measurements for the discrimination of normal tension glaucoma (NTG) and primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) using spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT).
Methods: A total of 90 subjects were enrolled including 30 consecutive healthy subjects, 30 consecutive subjects with POAG, and 30 consecutive subjects with NTG. RNFL thicknesses around the optic disc as well as MT measurements were taken with circular and radial SD-OCT scans. Intraeye retinal and MT asymmetry were calculated as the absolute difference between superior and inferior hemispheres of the eye using posterior pole asymmetry analysis protocol. Analysis of variance was used for comparison and areas under the receiver operating characteristic (AROC) were obtained for different parameters among the three diagnostic groups.
Results: There was a significant difference in MT asymmetry for all comparison groups (normal-NTG, p < 0.05; normal-POAG, p < 0.001; and NTG-POAG, p < 0.001). Intraeye retinal nerve fiber thickness asymmetry measurements were not different between the groups (normal-NTG, p = 0.187; normal-POAG, p = 0.056; and NTG-POAG, p = 0.837). The area under ROC curves exceeded 0.800 for all the studied parameters, including the MT asymmetry except for intraeye RNFL thickness asymmetry which had the lowest AROC as well as the least sensitivity for
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Glaucoma is an optic neuropathy characterized by the progressive degeneration of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and their axons leading to a concurrent visual field loss. Intraocular pressure (IOP) has been recognized as the only treatable risk factor for primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and is considered a determining feature of POAG. However, an emerging perspective relates IOP in glaucoma description rather than in defining the disease process. The general practice among eye care professionals has been to classify POAG into two distinct entities based on IOP. Glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON) in the presence of IOP higher than 21 mm Hg being described as high-tension glaucoma and is commonly referred to as POAG\(^2\) and all other cases are referred to as either low-tension glaucoma or normal-tension glaucoma (NTG). Studies have previously established a positive effect of IOP reduction on disease progression in both NTG and POAG.\(^5,6\) Nonetheless, various additional risk factors, such as ocular and systemic circulation abnormalities, have been linked to the cause and progression of both POAG\(^7,8\) and NTG.\(^10,11\) NTG has long been considered a class of POAG on account of a multitude of similar attributes. However, studies have demonstrated thinner neuroretinal rims, deeper and steeper-sided visual field defects, and greater prevalence of disc hemorrhages in NTG patients compared with POAG patients.\(^12-14\)

In both structure and function, asymmetry has been a well known characteristic of POAG. Previous research has demonstrated intraeye asymmetry between superior and inferior measures of visual field sensitivity,\(^15,16\) retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness,\(^17,18\) neuroretinal rim width,\(^19,20\) and...
and macular thickness\textsuperscript{21,22} to be in association with POAG. In addition, the glaucoma hemifield test, which demonstrates the visual sensitivity asymmetry between corresponding superior and inferior visual field zones has been a sensitive indicator of visual field loss.\textsuperscript{23} The use of intraeye asymmetry parameters bypasses the possible patient-specific and eye-specific effects as the measurements from the fellow hemispheres of the same eye are used as a basis of comparison. As such, asymmetry measurements may have superior diagnostic capability compared to raw measurements.

The recent introduction of Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SD-OCT) technology provides real time, rapid, high resolution in vivo scans of ocular tissues and thus facilitates the assessment of retinal nerve fiber layer as well as macular thickness. Studies have previously established normative OCT measurements in healthy population\textsuperscript{24,25} as well as consistently shown that both peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (pRNFL) thickness and MT are lower in glaucomatous eyes.\textsuperscript{16-19}

The posterior pole asymmetry analysis scanning protocol available commercially by Heidelberg Engineering for use within their SD-OCT instrument facilitates the evaluation of macular thickness asymmetry in glaucoma. There is a paucity of data in the literature regarding the diagnostic capability of macular thickness asymmetry between NTG and POAG. This study was designed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of RNFL and macular thickness asymmetry parameters in POAG and NTG. We also aimed to determine whether these asymmetry parameters could discriminate NTG from POAG.

**Material and methods**

**Study population**

This was a prospective, cross-sectional, hospital based study including 90 eyes of 90 subjects: 30 normal, 30 with NTG and 30 with POAG. Participants were recruited from the Glaucoma clinic (glaucoma patients) of the B. R. Koirala Lions Center for Ophthalmic Studies, Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital as a part of the institutional glaucoma research project. For each subject, one eye was randomly selected for study. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards stated in the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Institute of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from all recruited individuals prior to the first intervention of the study.

All participants underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, including visual acuity, refraction, intraocular pressure measurement with Goldmann tonometry, and dilated fundus examination with stereoscopic biomicroscopy of optic nerve head under slit-lamp and indirect ophthalmoscopy, ultrasonic pachymetry (Axis II PR, Quantel Medical), SAP (Octopus 301 Haag-Streit, Interzeg International-Ag, Schlieren, Switzerland) and SD-OCT scanning (SD-OCT Spectralis HRA + OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Germany). The inclusion criteria included age older than 35 years; patients diagnosed as NTG and POAG; open angles; good-quality scans obtained in peripapillary RNFL thickness evaluation by OCT defined as a signal-to-noise ratio of >35; reliable SAP performed at ±1 month from OCT imaging; and refractive error within a ±5 spherical diopter range, with less than ±3 cylinder dipters. Criteria for exclusion of a patient from the study were best corrected visual acuity on the Snellen chart worse than 20/60, any ocular conditions including corneal and vitreoretinal diseases which could interfere with obtaining reliable visual fields (VFs) or good quality retinal scans, or significant parapapillary atrophy that caused blind spot enlargement on the visual field tests, interfered with VF readings, or causing false nerve fiber layer thickness data by OCT evaluation. Patients who could not undergo complete reliable VF test with three attempts and those with any other ophtalmic or neurologic conditions that could result in SAP defects were excluded as well.

Glaucomatous eyes were defined as those with a glaucomatous visual field defect confirmed by 2 reliable visual field examinations and by the appearance of a glaucomatous optic disc with typical loss of neuroretinal rim as judged by slit-lamp biomicroscopy (cup-to-disc ratio, >0.7; intereye cup asymmetry, >0.2; or neuroretinal rim notching, focal thinning, disc hemorrhage, or vertical elongation of the optic cup). These were categorized into 2 subgroups according to the IOP level. The NTG group was defined as those with untreated peak IOP lower than 21 mm Hg on repeated 3 measurements taken at different times on separate visits during clinical follow-up. The POAG group included those with IOP before treatment exceeding 21 mm Hg based on 3 measurements on different days. The control group comprised of age- and sex matched normal subjects from patients referred for routine ophthalmic examination and hospital staffs who had no ocular disease and no history of intraocular or laser surgery other than uncomplicated cataract surgery. The subjects in the control group had a normal anterior segment, open angles, and normal posterior segment findings, as well as a normal optic nerve head appearance in their ophthalmic examinations. IOP measurements were lower than 21 mmHg without any medication, and normal visual field result with SAP.

**Standard automated perimetry**

SAP was performed with Normal strategy on OCTOPUS 301 after dark adapting the participant for 3–5 min prior to the test. A reliable VF test was defined as one with less than 33% fixation loss and less than 20% positive and negative catch trials. Glaucomatous VF defect was defined, in accordance with criteria set by Hodapp et al., as MD >+2.0 dB or LV >6.0 dB\textsuperscript{27} (equivalent to being triggered at the 5% level on the Humphrey Field Analyzer)\textsuperscript{30} or both; at least 25% of points depressed below the 5% level and 15% of points depressed below the 1% level and with or without points within central 5° and one or both hemifields with sensitivity 15 dB or less.\textsuperscript{31} The global indices obtained in the second examination were included in the study to minimize the impact of learning particularly in the group with healthy eyes who were naive to visual field testing. SAP was performed by the same operator in all cases.
Spectral domain optical coherence tomography

All patients were scanned using the commercially available SD-OCT Spectralis HRA + OCT (Heidelberg Engineering). This instrument uses a wavelength of 820 nm in the near infrared spectrum in the SLO mode. The light source of the SD-OCT is a super luminescent diode with a wavelength of 870 nm. Infrared images and OCT scans (40,000 A-Scans/s) of the dual laser scanning systems are acquired simultaneously. The macular thickness measurements were obtained using the posterior pole asymmetry scan protocol. This scan protocol, which acquires 61 raster scans per eye, uses an image segmentation algorithm that automatically identifies Bruch’s membrane and the internal limiting membrane and derives thickness data by calculating distances between these structures. For peripapillary RNFL thickness, sixteen consecutive circular B-scans (3.4-mm diameter, 768 A-scans) centered at the optic disc were automatically averaged to reduce speckle noise. Peripapillary RNFL thickness was assessed between the anterior and posterior margins of RNFL delineated by the computer algorithm. Peripapillary RNFL thickness parameters evaluated in this study were the average thickness and the thickness at two clock hour positions, with the 6-o’clock position inferior and the 12-o’clock position superior. Internal fixation was used for all scans. Intraeye retinal and macular thickness asymmetry were calculated as the absolute difference between superior and inferior hemispheres of the eye. All images were obtained with image quality scores of at least 60 as recommended by the manufacturer. A single user performed at least two retinal scans in all the cases and the scans with best image quality were considered. The retinal scans were performed within one month of clinical examination.

Statistical analysis

All the variables studied followed a normal distribution as verified by the Shapiro–Wilks test and graphical Q–Q plots. To compare quantitative variables among the different groups, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used with Games Howell correction for unequal variances and Tukey Honestly Significant Difference for equal variances. The area under receiver operating characteristic (AROC) curve was calculated to assess the ability of each testing parameters to differentiate normal and each of the two other study groups. An AROC of 1.0 represented perfect discrimination, whereas an AROC of 0.5 represented chance discrimination. Sensitivity and specificity of each test parameter was determined by obtaining the highest sensitivities, with target specificity set at ≥90%. In all statistical analyses, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were done using SPSS v. 17 software for Windows and Med Calc, v. 12.3.0 was used to compare the AROC’s.

Results

A total of 90 subjects comprising of 30 subjects with POAG, 30 subjects with NTG and 30 normal subjects who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled for this investigation. Only one eye of the subjects was considered for inclusion in the study. There were no intergroup differences for age, sex, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and refractive error as shown in Table 1. As expected glaucomatous groups had worse visual fields indices than the healthy subjects. The visual field indices, MD and LV, were significantly different among the three diagnostic groups, [F(2,87) = 49.233, p < 0.001] and [F(2,87) = 37.217, p < 0.001] respectively. The post hoc analysis using Games Howell adjustment for multiple comparisons demonstrated a significant difference in MD and LV between normal-POAG, normal-NTG and NTG-POAG comparison groups (p < 0.001).

The RNFL thickness and macular thickness parameters were thicker in healthy subjects followed by subjects with NTG and then POAG. Post hoc tests using Tukey l adjustments revealed significant differences in RNFL thickness and macular thickness parameters across all comparison groups (p < 0.001). The mean (95% CI) macular thickness asymmetry was 2.0 μm (1.6–2.3) in normal subjects, 4.5 μm (3.3–5.1) in NTG and 7.2 μm (5.1–9.3) in POAG (Fig. 1). Similarly, the mean (95% CI) RNFL thickness asymmetry increased from normal subjects, 9.8 μm (6.9–12.7) to NTG, 14.73 μm (10.6–18.8) and POAG, 16.33 μm (11.4–21.3) (Fig. 2). One way ANOVA using Tukey HSD for multiple comparisons showed a significant difference in macular thickness asymmetry for all comparison groups (normal-NTG, p < 0.05; normal-POAG, p < 0.001; and NTG-POAG, p < 0.001). Furthermore intraeye retinal nerve fiber thickness measurements were not different between the groups

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for retinal nerve fiber layer and macular thickness asymmetry study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal (n = 30)</th>
<th>NTG (n = 30)</th>
<th>POAG (n = 30)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>47.00 ± 8.16</td>
<td>50.97 ± 10.03</td>
<td>52.00 ± 9.58</td>
<td>0.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>14/16</td>
<td>12/18</td>
<td>14/16</td>
<td>0.89b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (log MAR)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.40a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error (D)</td>
<td>+0.26 ± 0.53</td>
<td>+0.16 ± 0.73</td>
<td>+0.33 ± 0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD (dB)</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.82</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.87</td>
<td>5.88 ± 4.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV (dB)</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.90</td>
<td>8.49 ± 3.59</td>
<td>23.4 ± 16.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NTG = normal tension glaucoma; POAG = primary open-angle glaucoma; BCVA = best corrected visual acuity; MD = mean deviation; LV = loss variance.

a One way ANOVA analysis.
b Chi-square test.
c One way ANOVA analysis using Games–Howell adjustment for pairwise comparisons.
(normal-NTG, \( p < 0.187 \); normal-POAG, \( p < 0.056 \); and NTG-POAG, \( p < 0.837 \)) (Table 2).

To identify the diagnostic ability for NTG and POAG, the area under receiver operating characteristic curves (AROC) were calculated for RNFL and macular thickness as well as asymmetry parameters and the sensitivities at a high specificity of >90% was determined which are listed in Table 3. The AROCs for macular thickness asymmetry were significantly larger for discriminating normal-NTG (AROC = 0.893); normal-POAG (AROC = 0.882) and NTG-POAG (AROC = 0.818) when compared to RNFL thickness asymmetry (AROC for normal-NTG, 0.626; normal-POAG, 0.644; NTG-POAG, 0.533). Intraeye macular thickness asymmetry also demonstrated higher sensitivities than the intraeye retinal thickness asymmetry for both NTG (Fig. 3) and POAG study groups (Fig. 4). The AROC’s for the macular thickness and asymmetry parameters were not significantly different from RNFL thickness parameters. The inferior–superior RNFL thickness asymmetry had significantly smaller AROCs as well as sensitivities at a specificity of >90% when compared to the rest of the diagnostic parameters (normal-NTG, AROC, 0.626, sensitivity 30%; normal-POAG, AROC, 0.644, sensitivity 37%; NTG-POAG, AROC, 0.533, sensitivity 13%).

Discussion

There is a paucity of data regarding the diagnostic precision of macular and RNFL asymmetry parameters for the diagnosis of glaucoma. Sullivan-Mee et al., in their study, evaluated the diagnostic capabilities of intereye and intraeye differences in RNFL thickness and macular thickness for identifying early POAG. They concluded that although structural asymmetry parameters performed well, further
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**Figure 1** Average macular thickness asymmetry in different study groups. The inferior/superior macular thickness difference was greater in POAG compared to normal and NTG. The box plot represents 95% confidence intervals. POAG = primary open angle glaucoma; NTG = normal tension glaucoma.
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**Figure 2** Average retinal nerve fiber layer thickness asymmetry in different study groups. The inferior/superior retinal nerve fiber layer thickness difference was greater in glaucomatous groups (NTG and POAG) than normal. The box plot represents 95% confidence intervals. POAG = primary open angle glaucoma; NTG = normal tension glaucoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and macular thickness parameters categorized by study groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior RNFL thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior RNFL thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior RNFL thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average RNFL thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior/superior RNFL thickness difference( ^a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior macular thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior macular thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior/superior macular thickness difference( ^b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total macular thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed in micrometers as mean (95% CI of the mean).

NTG = normal tension glaucoma, POAG = primary open-angle glaucoma, n = number.

\( p^1 \) Normal vs NTG; \( p^2 \) Normal vs POAG; \( p^3 \) POAG vs NTG.

\( ^a \) Absolute difference between superior and inferior RNFL thickness.

\( ^b \) Absolute difference between superior and inferior macular thickness.

* One way ANOVA analysis using Tukey adjustment for pairwise comparisons.
Given that the functional loss, \textsuperscript{32,33} given the importance of assessing structural changes for early indication of glaucomatous damage, RNFL thickness evaluation has gained popularity with the introduction of high resolution imaging technologies. Peripapillary RNFL thickness evaluation using OCT has been the cornerstone of assessing structural damage in glaucoma in the recent years owing to the fact that RNFL is thicker in peripapillary regions. With advances in technology, the measurement of retinal thickness in the macular area has also become feasible. Reports have suggested that MT assessment could be a valuable surrogate measure for evaluating glaucomatous structural changes, because such damage occurs in RGCs, which are multilayered and more

**Table 3** AROC and specificity at >90% sensitivity for RNFL parameters for comparing normal and glaucoma groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison groups</th>
<th>Normal-POAG</th>
<th>Normal-NTG</th>
<th>NTG-POAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AROC p\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>Sn/Sp</td>
<td>AROC p\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>Sn/Sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior RNFL thickness</td>
<td>0.989 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/90</td>
<td>0.940 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior RNFL thickness</td>
<td>0.999 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/90</td>
<td>0.876 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average RNFL thickness</td>
<td>1.000 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>0.979 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior/superior RNFL thickness difference\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>0.644 .061</td>
<td>37/90</td>
<td>0.626 .091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior macular thickness</td>
<td>0.997 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/90</td>
<td>0.889 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior macular thickness</td>
<td>0.999 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/90</td>
<td>0.878 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior/superior macular thickness difference\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.882 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>80/93</td>
<td>0.893 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total macular thickness</td>
<td>0.972 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>100/90</td>
<td>0.872 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{p} p value for normal-POAG comparison group; \textsuperscript{p}\textsuperscript{2} p value for normal-NTG comparison group; p\textsuperscript{3} p value for NTG-POAG comparison group.

\textsuperscript{a} Absolute difference between superior and inferior RNFL thickness.

\textsuperscript{b} Absolute difference between superior and inferior macular thickness.

study was necessary to validate the results. This study was designed with a purpose of establishing and comparing the diagnostic ability of intraeye RNFL thickness and intraeye macular thickness asymmetry in glaucomatous eyes. In addition, we aimed at determining if these intraeye asymmetry measurements facilitated the discrimination of NTG and POAG.

Glaucoma has been characterized by structural loss in the form of optic disc changes and thinning of RNFL as well as functional loss indicated by decrease in visual field sensitivity. The structure–function relationship in glaucoma has been widely studied in the literature with reports suggesting that more often, the structural changes precede the functional loss.\textsuperscript{32,33} Given the importance of assessing structural changes for early indication of glaucomatous damage, RNFL thickness evaluation has gained popularity with the introduction of high resolution imaging technologies. Peripapillary RNFL thickness evaluation using OCT has been the cornerstone of assessing structural damage in glaucoma in the recent years owing to the fact that RNFL is thicker in peripapillary regions. With advances in technology, the measurement of retinal thickness in the macular area has also become feasible. Reports have suggested that MT assessment could be a valuable surrogate measure for evaluating glaucomatous structural changes, because such damage occurs in RGCs, which are multilayered and more

![Figure 3](image1.png) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for NTG group. The area under ROC curve was greater for macular thickness asymmetry than retinal nerve fiber layer thickness asymmetry.

![Figure 4](image2.png) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for POAG group. The area under ROC curve was greater for macular thickness asymmetry than retinal nerve fiber layer thickness asymmetry.
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