

Maddalena De Bernardo*, Nicola Rosa
*Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of
 Salerno, Baronissi, Salerno, Italy*

* Corresponding author at: Department of Medicine and
 Surgery, University of Salerno, Via Salvador Allende, 84081
 Baronissi (SA), Italy.
E-mail address: mdebernardo@unisa.it (M. De Bernardo).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2017.10.001>
 1888-4296/

© 2017 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Published by
 Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC
 BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reply to comment by De Bernardo and Rosa on "Evaluation of Goldmann applanation tonometry, rebound tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry in keratoconus"



Réplica al comentario de De Bernardo y Rosa a "Evaluación de la tonometría por aplanación de Goldmann, la tonometría de rebote y la tonometría de contorno dinámico en el queratocono"

We would like to thank the De Bernardo and Rosa for their comment and interest in our study entitled "Evaluation of Goldmann applanation tonometry, rebound tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry in keratoconus" in which we compared three tonometers in patients with keratoconus.¹ In this cross-sectional study, we concluded dynamic contour tonometry (DCT) may be the most appropriate tonometer to use in keratoconus for the measurements of intraocular pressure (IOP), because DCT do not appear to be dependent upon central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal radius of curvature (CR).

We compared these three tonometers in normal and glaucomatous eyes in another study.² As the study of Lanza et al.,³ we found that DCT has highest IOP measurements among these tonometers. Rebound tonometry (RT) was most influenced tonometer from CCT although all tonometers were significantly positive correlated with CCT except DCT in glaucomatous eyes. CR did not influence IOP measurements. Corneal biomechanical properties, such as corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) are other factors that affect the measurement of IOP as you stressed. Both CH and CRF decrease in keratoconic corneas compared with normal corneas because of mechanical weakening of the stroma. Furthermore the analysis of these corneal biomechanical properties may contribute to early diagnosis of keratoconus in the future.⁴ Bayer et al. reported that both DCT and GAT were significantly influenced by CH and CRF. According to the regression models, DCT was

slightly less affected from CH and CRF when compared with that of GAT. The magnitude of effect of CH and CRF on DCT measurements was quite different. The IOP decreases 1.78 mmHg/1 mmHg increase in CH and increases 1.83 mmHg/1 mmHg increase in CRF for the DCT.⁵

Because of the many difficulties in IOP measurements on the cornea especially with keratoconus, researchers and clinicians are interested in new tonometers that measure IOP independently of these corneal properties. The Goldmann applanation tonometer is currently the most widely used device in clinical practice, and is accepted as the gold standard method for IOP measurement. However, a new tonometer may be a gold standard in the near future.

References

- Özcura F, Yıldırım N, Tambova E, Şahin A. Evaluation of Goldmann applanation tonometry, rebound tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry in keratoconus. *J Optom.* 2017;10:117–122.
- Özcura F, Yıldırım N, Şahin A, Çolak E. Comparison of Goldmann applanation tonometry, rebound tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry in normal and glaucomatous eyes. *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2015;8:299–304.
- Lanza M, Borrelli M, De Bernardo M, Filosa ML, Rosa N. Corneal parameters and difference between Goldmann applanation tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry in normal eyes. *J Glaucoma.* 2008;17:460–464.
- Vellara HR, Patel DV. Biomechanical properties of the keratoconic cornea: a review. *Clin Exp Optom.* 2015;98:31–38.
- Bayer A, Şahin A, Hürmeriç V, Özge G. Intraocular pressure values obtained by ocular response analyzer, dynamic contour tonometry, and Goldmann tonometry in keratoconic corneas. *J Glaucoma.* 2010;19:540–545.

Fatih Özcura*

*Department of Ophthalmology, Dumlupınar University
 School of Medicine, Kutahya 43270, Turkey*

Nilgün Yıldırım

*Department of Ophthalmology, Eskişehir Osmangazi
 University School of Medicine, Eskişehir 26480, Turkey*

* Corresponding author at: Department of Ophthalmology,
 Dumlupınar University School of Medicine, Kutahya 43270,
 Turkey.

E-mail address: fatihozcura@yahoo.com (F. Özcura).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2017.11.003>
 1888-4296/

© 2017 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Spanish
 General Council of Optometry. This is an open access article under
 the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

DOIs of original articles:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2017.10.001>,
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2016.04.005>.