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Long-term results of corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate long-term keratoconus stability after corneal crosslinking (CXL) with riboflavin.

Methods: In this prospective study, 57 eyes of 55 patients with progressive keratoconus, consecutively treated with ultraviolet A (UVA) - riboflavin CXL, were examined with the corneal topographer Pentacam, the biometer IOLMaster and the analyzer of corneal biomechanics Ocular Response Analyzer before and during a 24 months follow-up after CXL.

Results: Twenty-four months after CXL, there was a significant improvement in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) ($P<0.01$), a significant decrease in corneal thinnest point (CTP), keratometry readings at the keratoconus apex (K max), and corneal volume (CV) ($P<0.01$), and a significant increase in axial eye length (AL) ($P=0.01$). No significant changes in anterior chamber volume (ACV) and depth (ACD), ($P=0.8$), corneal hysteresis (CH) ($P=0.16$) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) ($P=0.06$) were found. However, in the subgroup of patients with decreased K max readings 24 months after treatment, both CH and CRF showed a significant reduction ($P<0.01$).

Conclusion: In the first month after the procedure, CXL induces a reduction in corneal volume. During the 24 months follow-up the cornea tends to recover its original volume with a persistence of the CXL efficacy.

© 2013 Spanish General Council of Optometry. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Keratoconus is a corneal ectasia resulting from non-inflammatory, progressive thinning of the corneal stroma. Initial management is based on refractive correction with spectacles and contact lenses or intrastromal corneal ring segments, but further ectatic progression may lead to corneal transplantation in 10–20% of the patients. Corneal collagen crosslinking (CXL) with riboflavin and ultraviolet A (UVA) has been used in several in vitro studies in the attempt to enhance corneal biomechanical resistance by an increase in collagen fiber diameters. As the main keratoconus characteristic is a reduced biomechanical corneal strength, CXL was recently proposed to halt its progression. In this study we report the clinical results of CXL treatment in patients with a progressive keratoconus.

Methods

Fifty-seven eyes of 55 patients (39 men) with a mean age of 22.5 ± 5 years (range from 11 to 35) with progressive keratoconus, documented by refraction and corneal topography in the last 6 months, and defined as a recent keratometric increase and/or pachymetric decrease, were evaluated. In particular, keratoconus was considered to be progressive if, during a 12 months’ follow-up, there was an increase ≥1 diopter (D) in the steepest simulated keratometry value derived from computerized videokeratography or in the steepest meridian measured by manual keratometry, or an increase in astigmatism as determined by manifest subjective refraction ≥1.0D, with subjective deterioration in vision.

Patients with a history of previous corneal surgery, systemic autoimmune diseases, diabetes, evidence of severe dry eye, corneal scars and corneal thickness less than 400 μm were excluded from the study. After the nature and aim of the study had been fully explained, a written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study and data collection were carried out in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All patients underwent a complete preoperative ophthalmologic examination including: measurement of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in decimal scale; slit lamp and fundus examination; corneal evaluation, including corneal volume measurements, by a Scheimpflug imaging device (Oculus Pentacam, Optikgerate GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany); axial length (AL) measurement with an IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany); and evaluation of the biomechanical properties of the cornea, corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF), with the Ocular Response Analyzer (Reichert Technologies, Depew, USA).

All patients were treated with UVA-riboflavin CXL under aseptic conditions using topical preoperative anesthesia with oxybuprocaine hydrochloride 0.4% (Novesina, Novartis Farma) eye drops. Before the procedure, 2% pilocarpine (Pilocarpina, Farmigea) eye drops were instilled in the eye to be treated. Each patient was draped, the ocular surface was rinsed with Balanced saline solution (BSS) and a lid speculum was inserted. To ensure that the riboflavin penetrated the stroma and to achieve a high level of UVA absorption, the epithelial tissue was removed in a 9.0 mm diameter area. To allow sufficient saturation of the stroma, Riboflavin 0.1% in 20% dextran solution (Ricrolin, Soofit) was applied to the cornea 30 min before the irradiation, every 3 min. A calibrated UV power meter (UV LIGHT meter model yk-34uv, Lutron electronic, Coopersburg, USA) was used to...
control the desired levels of irradiance before each treatment. After that, an 8.0 mm diameter of the central cornea was irradiated with the Vega C.B.M. X-linker (C.S.O. Firenze) using standard parameters (UVA 365 nm, 3 mW/cm²). During the 30 min irradiation, drops of riboflavin solution were applied to the cornea every 2–3 min to maintain the necessary concentration of riboflavin and to prevent corneal drying. The treatment centration was controlled by the surgeon. At the end of the procedure, the eye surface was washed with BSS, and cyclopentolate (Ciclopl 10 mg/mL, Allergan) and ofloxacin (Exocin 3 mg/mL, Allergan) eye drops were administered and afterwards a soft bandage contact lens was applied. Ofloxacin drops and artificial tears were given 4 times a day until complete reepithelialization. After the contact lens was removed, sterile eye drops (Dexamono 1 mg/mL, Thea) were used in a tapered dose over 4 weeks and artificial tears were continued 4 times a day for the same period. Statistical analysis was performed using the paired Student’s t test. $P < 0.01$ was considered to be statistically significant.

We certify that all applicable institutional and governmental regulations concerning the ethical use of human volunteers/animals were followed during this research.

**Discussion**

Several studies have been published reporting the clinical data after CXL, but only few of them presented a long follow-up and some of them evaluated a small number of patients. Raikspuw-Wolf et al. found in 66 eyes that in most of them there was an improvement in BCVA, astigmatism and $K$ readings with a non-significant change in central corneal thickness (CCT) at 1 year and an increase after 24 months. Vinciguerra et al., in 40 eyes of 40 pediatric patients with progressive keratoconus found a significant improvement in uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and BCVA with a statistically significant reduction in mean spherical equivalent and a flattening effect of CXL on the keratoconic cornea. Moreover, they found no statistically significant difference in pupil center pachymetry, thinnest point, corneal volume, anterior chamber volume and anterior chamber depth compared to preoperative data.

Vinciguerra et al., in another paper, found similar results in 28 eyes with keratoconus, except for the total corneal volume, which decreased ($P = 0.045$) at 12 months, with a slight increase 24 months after treatment ($P = 0.06$ compared with the 12-month values) and for the corneal pachymetry at the thinnest point, which decreased from baseline to 1 year after CXL ($P = 0.04$) and increased to achieve recovery 24 months postoperatively ($P = 0.71$). Anterior chamber volume, and anterior and posterior elevation did not change significantly during the 24-months follow-up, but anterior chamber depth decreased 24 months postoperatively with a statistically significant difference. Caporossi et al., in 44 patients with keratoconus between 10 and 40 years old, with a minimum follow-up of 48 months, found an improvement in UCVA and BCVA and a reduction in mean $K$ readings with a hyperopic shift, without statistically significant differences in central corneal thickness, measured by US pachymetry, over the third month of follow-up. Goldich et al., in 14 eyes treated with collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus, found a significant improvement in BCVA, in steepest-meridian keratometry, in the mean cylinder, with a significant elongation of the eyes, whereas no significant changes in mean simulated keratometry, minimal corneal thickness, endothelial cell density or foveal thickness were observed. Our data show that there was a significant improvement in BCVA, with a relative stability in ACV and in the values of sphere and cylinder, and a decrease of the $K$ max values, in agreement with previous published papers. Concerning the corneal thickness and the corneal volume, our findings of a statistically significant decrease 1 month after treatment, that trend to increase during the 24 months follow-up, without reaching the preoperative values, could be explained by previous in vivo findings showing that, 6 months after CXL treatment, stromal keratocyte repopulation was complete and was accompanied by the disappearance of stromal edema. Another explanation could be the presence of corneal edema in the first months, causing artifacts in CCT measurements. Regarding the AL, 1 month after surgery, the treated eyes showed a statistically significant elongation compared to the preoperative data, which remained stable during the following months, similarly to that reported by Goldich et al. Our study shows an AL increase, associated with the stability of the ACV and ACD

**Results**

BCVA, corneal thinnest point (CTP), keratometry readings at the keratoconus apex ($K_{\text{max}}$), AL, corneal volume (CV), anterior chamber volume (ACV), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and CH and CRF before treatment and during the follow-up are shown in Table 1. In Figs. 1–3 the differences in CTP (Fig. 1), $K_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 2) and AL (Fig. 3) have been divided in 3 groups: stable, increased, and decreased.

Table 2 shows the changes in CH and CRF before and 24 months after treatment in patients with stability and decrease in $K_{\text{max}}$ readings, respectively.

These results indicate that 24 months after CXL, there was a significant improvement in BCVA ($P < 0.01$), a significant decrease in CTP, $K_{\text{max}}$, and CV ($P < 0.01$), and a significant increase in AL ($P = 0.01$). Concerning the AL difference, we divided the patients into two groups: pediatric patients (6 eyes) and adult patients (24 eyes) to check if the increase in the axial length could be due to an ocular growth. In both cases the Wilcoxon rank test showed a significant increase ($P < 0.05$).

No significant changes in ACV and ACD ($P = 0.8$), CH ($P = 0.16$) and CRF ($P = 0.06$) were found. However, in the subgroup of patients with decreased $K_{\text{max}}$ readings 24 months after treatment, both CH and CRF showed a significant reduction ($P < 0.01$).

If however, we observe the subgroup of patients, in which a reduction of CH and CRF was found at 24 months follow-up, the mean difference in $K_{\text{max}}$ value was $-1.21 \pm 2.67$ D (range $+1.4$ to $-7.2$ D); the mean difference in CTP was $-26.63 \pm 23.83 \mu$m (range $+16$ to $-56 \mu$m); the mean difference in CV was $-0.91 \pm 0.71 \mu$m² (range $+0.4$ to $-1.8 \mu$m²), confirming the previous results.
Table 1 Comparison in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in decimals, Sphere and Cylinder, corneal thinnest point (CTP), keratometry readings at the keratometric apex (K max), axial eye length (AL), corneal volume (CV), anterior chamber volume (ACV), anterior chamber depth (ACD), corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) before treatment and during the 24 months follow-up (mean ± SD, and range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre op.</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>3 Months</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>12 Months</th>
<th>24 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BCVA</strong></td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sph (D)</strong></td>
<td>0.07 ± 2.24</td>
<td>0.13 ± 2.29</td>
<td>−0.01 ± 2.64</td>
<td>−0.23 ± 2.19</td>
<td>0.66 ± 2.15</td>
<td>0.14 ± 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cyl (D)</strong></td>
<td>−3.18 ± 1.54</td>
<td>−3.02 ± 1.38</td>
<td>−3.26 ± 1.71</td>
<td>−3.07 ± 1.68</td>
<td>−3.44 ± 1.26</td>
<td>−2.8 ± 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTP (µm)</strong></td>
<td>458.4 ± 30.5</td>
<td>418.2 ± 38.6</td>
<td>415.3 ± 33.3</td>
<td>422.9 ± 44.7</td>
<td>441.1 ± 31.2</td>
<td>436.2 ± 38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K max (D)</strong></td>
<td>58.04 ± 4.92</td>
<td>58.77 ± 4.79</td>
<td>58.27 ± 4.85</td>
<td>56.75 ± 5.2</td>
<td>56.31 ± 5.71</td>
<td>55.82 ± 5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AL (mm)</strong></td>
<td>23.92 ± 0.96</td>
<td>24.09 ± 0.92</td>
<td>23.99 ± 0.99</td>
<td>24.03 ± 0.99</td>
<td>23.79 ± 0.96</td>
<td>24.09 ± 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CV (mm²)</strong></td>
<td>56.9 ± 3</td>
<td>54.7 ± 3</td>
<td>55.7 ± 3</td>
<td>55.8 ± 3</td>
<td>57.4 ± 3</td>
<td>56.6 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACV (mm³)</strong></td>
<td>226.9 ± 33.9</td>
<td>230.6 ± 38.3</td>
<td>235.5 ± 32.7</td>
<td>230.9 ± 37.1</td>
<td>223 ± 34.7</td>
<td>226.8 ± 37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACD (mm)</strong></td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.33</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.31</td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.94 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.94 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRF (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a T test evaluation with immediately previous follow-up.
* b T test evaluation between pre-operative and 24 months follow-up.
* c T test evaluation between 1 month and 24 months follow-up.

during the 24 months follow up. This is an important finding, as it supports the theory that this increase is due to an elongation of the posterior segment of the eye, unrelated to the treatment. When we divide the patients into two groups, adults and pediatrics, in both cases we found a significant increase. This finding does not prove that the elongation is unrelated to eye growth, as it has been shown that in some individuals the eye elongation can also be present also
Concerning the biomechanical parameters, our data on all the examined population, showing no statistical differences between preoperative and 24 months follow-up data, agree with the other papers dealing with this issue.\textsuperscript{25-29}
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Figure 3 Percentage of eyes with stability, increase or decrease in axial eye length (AL) values.

Table 2 Changes in corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) in the group of patients with stability (GROUP 1) and in the one with decrease (GROUP 2) in keratometry readings at the keratoconus apex (K max) before treatment and at 24 months follow-up (mean ± SD, and range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH (mmHg)</th>
<th>Pre op.</th>
<th>24 Months</th>
<th>Pre op.</th>
<th>24 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.1 to 10.4</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.9 to 11.0</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.3 to 8.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5.0 to 8.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = 0.08

P = 0.87

P < 0.01

P < 0.01

However, when we analyze only the subgroup of eyes with improvement (decrease) in K max readings at 24 months follow-up, we observe a statistically significant reduction of CH and CRF (Table 2). This finding is confirmed when we analyze the data of patients with reduction in CH and CRF at 24 months follow-up, as in this subgroup of patients there is a mean reduction in K max readings, in CV and in CTP.

The correlation between CH and CRF with corneal thickness could explain this finding. Another explanation may be that CXL produces a stabilization of the keratoconus evolution with ultra-structural changes of the cornea without affecting the corneal viscoelasticity.

One limitation of this study might be the lack of data regarding the endothelial cells. The reason why we did not evaluate the cell density was that the safety of this kind of treatment was not the aim of this study. However, a recently published paper, investigating the effects of CXL in eyes with progressive keratoconus, showed no significant difference in endothelial cell density at any follow-up time when compared with baseline data and, similarly, no significant differences with the control group.

In conclusion, our data seem to confirm the effectiveness of the treatment that induces shrinkage of the corneal lamellae, with a reduction in corneal volume, in the first month after the procedure, and a tendency to recover to preoperative values during the following period, but with persistence of the efficacy of the treatment at 24 months follow-up.
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